

Details of Appeal/Decision of First Appellate Authority for the period October 2019 to March 2020 under RTI Act 2005:

Sl. No.	ID No.	Ground of Appeal	Decision of First Appellate Authority/remarks
1	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/09/02/2019	I am also informed that an appeal can be made against the information provided therein. Accordingly, it is requested to provide the information S.No.3 to 16 Ex-employees in specific format as requested in my RTI letter dated. 09.09.2019.	<p>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by DPIO/PIO, and query raised in his 1st Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as under:</p> <p>As per Section 8(1) (j) of the RTI Act, 2005, the information relates to personal information and its disclosure has no relationship to any public activity or interest. Further, it may cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual.</p> <p>Thus, I am of the considered opinion that it is not obligatory for the PIO/CPIO to provide information sought by the appellant Section 8(1) (j) of the RTI Act, 2005.”</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
2	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/10/02/2019	<p>He did not get any information from Senior Examiner of Trade Marks and GI, CPIO, Trade Marks Registry, Chennai</p> <p>His application has been further transferred to Organization / Authority Ahmedabad, Gujarat.</p> <p>He has requested First Appellate Authority, ITPO to intervene in the matter</p>	<p>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by PIO, and query raised in his 1st Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as under:</p> <p>“Since the matter is being dealt by Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks, Boudhik Sampada Bhawan, Antop Hill, S M Road, Mumbai – 400 037, his application be forwarded to Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks, Mumbai”.</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
3	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/12/02/2019	<p>The replies furnished by PIO to some of the points raised in the RTI Application, as reproduced below, are incomplete and unsatisfactory:</p> <p>Point 1.b): Amount of Surplus Revenue/Profit transferred to General Reserves of ITPO during the period from</p>	<p>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by APIO/PIO, and query raised in his 1st Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied,</p> <p>“Information on Surplus generated by ITPO from 2015-16 to 2019 (till November) has already been provided to</p>

		<p>March 2016 till November 30, 2019, as sought under the RTI Act, has not been furnished by PIO in his response.</p> <p>Point 6): Information provided by PIO does not correspond to the points raised in the RTI Application. I have not asked for how many employees retired between June 2010 and April 2014 and contents of Office Order No. 426 dated. 22.04.2014 as have been furnished.</p> <p>I have simply sought information i.e:</p> <p>i) List of retired employees to whom recovery notice for refund of amount paid towards half pay Leave at the time of retirement, were sent before September 2014 and thereafter; and</p> <p>ii) Documentary proof of postal dispatch having date of dispatch and acknowledgement of receipt by retired employees.</p>	<p>you vide APIO's letter dated 16.01.2020. The list of officials against whom the excess amount of HPL has been worked out is enclosed with this reply. Further, no documentary evidence/proof has been maintained of the postal dispatch/acknowledgement of recovery notices. The applicant is free to inspect the records for any further information, if needed."</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
--	--	--	---