

Details of Appeal/Decision of First Appellate Authority for the period October 2016 to March 2017 under RTI Act 2005:

Sl. No.	ID No.	Ground of Appeal	Decision of First Appellate Authority/remarks
1.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/10/01/2017	<p>(a) The applicant received a letter dated 18.10.2016, but no information was supplied as demanded by the applicant.</p> <p>(b) The applicant received a letter dated 18.10.2016 and the applicant approached in the office of the respondent but till date no information was supplied by the respondent as per rules and preferred the present appeal.</p> <p>(c) The Information has not supplied the correct information except only a letter dated 18.10.2016.</p>	<p>The First Appellate Authority, ITPO after having perused the RTI Application, information furnished by DPIO, ITPO and first Appeal of the applicant/appellant observed and ruled that the information has been provided by DPIO, ITPO vide letter dated 18.10.2016 corresponding to the queries raised in the RTI Application and justified. While replying to the applicant, DPIO, ITPO, requested the applicant to deposit photocopying charges for copies of the documents to be provided to him. However, the applicant has neither contacted any official nor submitted requisite fee for obtaining the requisite documents. Hence, the Applicant may be informed that he may obtain the photocopies of the documents (17 pages) as stated in DPIO, ITPO, letter dated 18.10.2016, by depositing Rs.34/- towards photocopying charges of 17 pages @ Rs.2/- per page.</p>
2.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/02/1/2017	<p><b><u>Ref. No.ITPO/RTI/02/1/2017 dated 08.03.2017.</u></b></p> <p>Ref. above quoted letter dated 8.3.2017 – The PIO have also false &amp; incorrect information. Appellate Authority is hereby requested to look into the above issues and furnish the information on the basis of documentary evidences.”</p>	<p>The First Appellate Authority, ITPO after having perused the RTI Application, information furnished by DPIO, ITPO and first Appeal of the applicant/appellant observed and ruled that:</p> <p>“The Information has already been furnished to the appellant corresponding to the queries raised in his RTI Application. The appellant may be once again informed that seeking justification/ reasons is not covered under the ambit of “Information” and the information which exists in the material form can be provided, as per provisions u/s 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the views expressed by Appellant that PIO furnished false and incorrect information are not tenable.</p> <p>From the Appeal, it is also observed that issue raised by the appellant is not part of his RTI application and this being fresh point cannot be considered at the appellate stage. Under the RTI Act, examining of appeal is to be confined only to the issues raised in the RTI Application.</p>
3.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/02/3/2017	<p><b><u>Ref. Letter No.ITPO/RTI/02/03/2017 DATED 03.03.2017.</u></b></p> <p>With ref. to above quoted letter dated 03.03.2017 with regard to without obtaining the approval to creation of the following posts are</p>	<p>The First Appellate Authority, ITPO after having perused the RTI Application, information furnished by DPIO, ITPO and first Appeal of the applicant/appellant, observed and ruled that:</p> <p>“The appellant may be once again informed that the information sought</p>

		<p>as under:</p> <p>(1) PA Grade I in the pay scale of Rs.550-900.</p> <p>(2) Management Trainees, Private Secretary &amp; Additional Secretary to CMD have been operating w.e.f. 1.3.77.</p> <p>In this connection, PIO have furnished false incorrect information.</p> <p>FACTS: There is no documentary evidence in support of creation of posts. If Boards approval available, provide thereof approval of Board Minutes. I have Board Agenda and thereof Minutes. These were not the creation of the said posts and”</p>	<p>by the applicant in his RTI on para (1) is not clear and in the form of his views and opinions. Moreover, seeking justification/ reasons is not covered under the ambit of “Information” and the information which exists in the material form can be provided, as per provisions u/s 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the views expressed by Appellant that PIO has furnished false and incorrect information, are not tenable.</p>
4.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/02/17/2017	<p>Information provided by the Public Officer is insufficient and not in detail what I have ask.</p> <p>1. I request to provide information i.e. list of entry pass issued by you to showing name, address and ID card No. and copy of ID card issued by Indian Trade Promotion Organisation but instead of providing list you send me Xerox copy of blank pass showing just format of ID card. Questioned arise that are ITPO issue blank ID Card to Industrial Extension Bureau ( A Govt. of Gujarat Organisation? Please provide me all details how much card issued by you in INDEXT B. and details of it. (i.e name of person and company name mentioned in Card).</p> <p>2. I ask for the communication letter for demanding ID cards from INDEXTB to ITPO with its all annexure but you have provided me authority letter for just collecting card. Is ITPO has issued ID card to INDEXT B without demand letter? Please provide me letter demanding ID card with all its annexure from INDEXTB to ITPO.</p>	<p>The First Appellate Authority, ITPO after having perused the RTI Application, Information furnished by DPIO, ITPO and first Appeal of the applicant/appellant observed and ruled that:</p> <p>“Available information has already been furnished to the appellant corresponding to the queries raised in his RTI Application and the RTI application was also transferred to the concerned authorities for providing the rest of the information pertaining to the Industrial Extension Bureau (A Govt. of Gujarat), since the passes were further distributed by them during IITF 2016.</p> <p>The FAA, ITPO further ruled that the appellant may be informed that:</p> <p>1. ITPO issues all type of passes/ badges in bulk as per entitlement to State Pavilion as they participate in IITF as a group participant. The same applies to Govt. of Gujarat organization INTEXT B. we issued them passes as per entitlement. ITPO does not verify passes further issued by INTEXT B, as the same is done by the latter since they further distributed among their constituents. <i>ITPO had issued 302 exhibitor badges to them as per their entitlement.</i></p> <p>2. ITPO issues passes to the State Pavilion as per their entitlement and do not seek ID card from them as the same is the responsibility of the State Govt. (Read: Govt. of Gujarat) in the matter thereupon.</p>
5.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/01/08/2017	<p>I have received no information till 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 by ITPO and Directorate of Handicrafts, J&amp;K. Kindly provide me the information asked in the RTI application.”</p>	<p>The First Appellate Authority, ITPO after having perused the RTI Application, Information furnished by DPIO, ITPO and first Appeal of the applicant/appellant observed and ruled that:</p> <p>Available information has already been provided to the applicant</p>

			<p>corresponding to the query raised in his RTI Application and the DPIO, ITPO, as per provision u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, transferred the RTI application to the concerned authorities – Dte of Handicrafts, J&amp;K, for providing the requisite information to the applicant directly, under intimation to ITPO, as the allotment was done by Dte of Handicrafts J&amp;K during IITF 2016, is justified. This fact was also conveyed to the appellant by DPIO, ITPO vide letter dated 10.3.2017 and copy was endorsed to Dte of Handicrafts, J&amp;K to provide the information.</p> <p>The FAA, ITPO further ruled that applicant/appellant may be informed to contact the Dte of Handicrafts, Govt. of J&amp;K, for the requisite information accordingly.</p>
--	--	--	--