Sl.	ID No.	Ground of Appeal	Decision of First Appellate
No. 1.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/11/04/2020	 Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information. The information furnished by the public information officer are insufficient, in complete and unsatisfactory. Kindly furnish the correct and satisfactory reply / information for my RTI application. 	Authority/remarks Against the RTI application dated.25.11.2020 APIO/PIO vide ITPO letter No. ITPO/RTI/11/04/2020 dated. 23/12/2020 has requested is deposit fee of Rs. 6790/- for the photocopies of the information as per the RTI fee rules 2005. The past information of the visitors being the personal
			information of the visitors and in case disclose shall invasion of the privacy of the visitors. Moreover, there is not larger public interest exist in disclosure, which is also exempt as per section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act.
			Further, the information of the visitors is being kept under fiduciary relationship, therefore further exempted as per section 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act.
			The appellant intention that insufficient information is supplied is not evident.
			Further, CPIO is directed to provide proper reason for the denial in future.
			With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.
2	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/10/01/2020	 Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information. A. For s.no. 1, the information sought is well defined and limited to the written, interview, and overall marks obtained by all the candidates selected as DM in various cadres in 2012, wherein 12 DM were selected (1 Architecture, 1 Civil, 1 D&D, 1 Finance and 5 General) and hence information sought is to the point, defined, limited and factual. 	 For Sl.No. (1) A consolidated list of candidates who have been selected for the post of Deputy Manager in different Cadres showing their marks during the year 2012 is attached (pg. 1). For Sl.No. (3) No such information is available. For Sl.No. (10) Admn. Division has nominated an Officer for Inspection of Files by the applicant and date and time for inspection of file is being communicated separately. For Sl.No. (11) Copy of
		B. For s.no. 3, it is binding to provide information not just from Admn	• For Sl.No. (11) Copy of Minutes of the DPC held on 02.07.2020 for promotion to the post of Manager (Arch) is

	,	T		
			ivisions of the same	attached (pg. 1).
		organisation. Therefore, please		• For Sl.No.(12), The OO
		either pro	ovide the requested	dated 17.07.2018 has already
		information	or provide a definitive	been provided, however, for
		reply about	its absence.	more clarity, OO No. Admn./986/2019 dated
				25.09.2019 is also attached
		C For sno	10, the applicant sent	(pg. 1).
			210 dt 15.01.2021 (copy	
			, 10	
			provide details of file	
		_	However, no update	
		has been pr	ovided.	
		D. For s.no.	11, of only 1 out of 2	
		candidates (are provided. Since the	
		applicant w	vas himself a candidate	
		in the DPC.	, so information sought	
		is not unrelated, indiscriminate or confidential, and to be provided		
		Conjuctual	, and to be provided	
		E For sno	12 after the provided	
		E. For s.no. 12, after the provided		
		O.o. dt 17.07.2018, 1 post of M		
		(Arch) has been abolished and 1		
		1	M (Arch) has been	
		downgraded	•	
		changing the situation and so		
		updated p	promotional avenues	
		CURRENTI	LY available to be	
		provided.		
	MD0 (DDV/)			
3	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/10/06/2020		ormation sought are not tely satisfactory /	Though all the concerned
		•	lete, as per details given	Divisions/Sections gives NOCs on the prescribed format, once issued
		below:-		by Admn., however, as per
				information received various
		Point No. 4:	No photocopies	Division/Sections, other than
]	enclosed giving the	Revenue and Salary Section as
			details of the Division	informed earlier, Dues as of
			and Departments from	30.09.2020 are as under :
			which NOC is required.	
		Point No. 5:	The details of	$(1) \qquad BCS-NIL$
		1 OHIL 110. 3.	outstanding as on	(2) Medical – NIL
			30/09/2021 has been	(3) Security – ID Card to be
			given partially only	deposited on last
			relating to Finance	day of service
			Division. The	(4) Vigilance – NIL
			information about	(5) General Section –
			outstanding from the	(6) Travel – NIL
			other divisions has not	(7) ACR – NIL
			been provided.	(8) E.III – Medical Card to be surrendered
				(9) Library – NIL
		Point No. 6 & 7		(10) Engg. (Civil) – NIL
			administrative Office	(11) Engg. $(Elect.)$ – Two Heat
			Order to support of the	Convectors
			reply given to the	(12) Computer Div. – IT Assets
			applicant has not been	such as
			provided.	Computer,
		Doi:4 N 0	Dombo - to	Monitor, Printer
		Point No. 8:	Reply given to the	& UPS
			applicant is also incomplete in the case	(13) Stores – Office Furniture
			of receipt of all NOC, if	
			payment is delayed on	Information from other Division
			the part of the	are still awaited.
			concerned Division of	NOC
			ITPO in settling the	NOCs are circulated to 19
	<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>

dues is incomplete. A copy of any Office Order issued to fix the delinquent officer has not been provided.

Point No. Answer given to this question is contradicting to question No.5 as the responsibility of Fair 12 &13

Officer & team member was implemented in ITPO w.e.f. 24.06.2009 only.

I have not given any supportive document for amount of Rs. 1,91,900/- of Akash Ganga Exports Indian Exhibition, Mexico 03/98 have shown against my name as all other member of team have already given NOC. I may also be informed on what basis all other 6 members including Director of the Fair have been given NOC. The copy of approval of their NOC may also be provided.

Point No. I have not been provided any order / Supporting document in support of reply, the same may 14 & 15 be provided.

Divisions/Sections 90 days in advance, as per practice, so that they can take action in time.

It is the responsibility of the concerned Division to submit the NOC to Personnel Desk in time.

In case of delayed payment due to late receiving of NOCs, no specific rule is available for fixation of responsibility.

Copy of Circular dated July 14, 2009 attached.

Further, with regard to issue of NOC to other team members of Indian Exhibition-Mexico (1998) retired/took VRS between the year 2002 and 2015, it is informed by the concerned Division that since the matter is very old, they are tracing out the documents.

4 ITPO/RTI/Appeal/10/06/2020

1. The reply submitted at S.No. 1 & 2 does not meet the principle requirement of my query and is also not relevant to the solution. The whole exercise has created more apprehensions with added element of doubt and distress for the person at the receiving end; because the clauses quoted under RTI does not support the element of narration illustrated in the specific sub clause of section 8(1)(g) and 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act.

The relevant section is quoted as under:

- ➤ "8(1)(g) information, the disclosure of which would endanger the life or physical safety of any person or identify the source of information or assistance given in confidence for law enforcement or security purposes"
- "8(1)(h) Information which would impede the process of investigation or apprehension or prosecution of offenders;
- 2. It is clearly visible from the above, that the illustration of rules are derogatory and not in the spirit of rules as
 - I. As how come n

- disclosure information relating to ongoing disciplinary proceedings, which are in the nature of ongoing investigations, will the impact compromising those proceedings and restricting the discretion of the Enquiry Officer to decide as to what documents the officer proceeded against will have access to. Since departmental enquiry is in the nature of ongoing investigation, it is covered by Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act. It has been the view of the Commission that disclosures would impede the process of investigation in so far as these would affect the ability of the Enquiry Officer to conduct and regulate the extant departmentalproceeding.
- The minutes of Review Committee Meeting held on 18.11.2020, wherein his suspension period was extended. It is submitted that directions of the competent authority for extension of suspension was already communicated to the applicant vide o/o dated. 19.11.2020. The information

providing the c	opy of FIR
and copy of the	minutes of
the review	committee
(18/11/2020)	can
endanger the	life and
physical safet	y of any
person or id	lentify the
source of inform	nation.

- II. How come not providing the information lead to hampering of investigation as the review committee had already taken a decision on 18/11/2020 which has not been contested by the undersigned till date.
- 3. Further as against reply w.r.t at para 3&4; I am enclosing herewith a postal order of Rs. 52/- only (50+5) for getting certified copies sought under para 3&4.
- 4. It is humbly submitted that the reply dated 4th February 2021 by PIO is grossly inadequate and distorts facts. It speaks of callousness on part of the PIO that the reply has been simply copy pasted from other replies as explained below:

The reference of my letter has been quoted dated 07/01/2021 submitted online, however the same has been sent by the applicant vide letter dated 18/01/2021 via offline mode not online mode as stated.

- is related to the ongoing investigation and may not be considered for disclosure.
- We are enclosing copies of ITPO Employees (Conduct Discipline and Appeal) Rules Breakup of the Subsistence Allowance and sanction order (Photocopy 26 pages) as mentioned in our letter dated 04.02.2021 in reply to your RTI. We are also enclosing herewith Receipt no. C&B-200404 dated 08.03.2021 of Rs. 55/- paid for photocopying charges by the applicant.
- > CPIO/PIO is directed to be careful in future.