

Details of Appeal/Decision of First Appellate Authority for the period April 2021 to May 2021 under RTI Act 2005:

Sl. No.	ID No.	Ground of Appeal	Decision of First Appellate Authority/remarks
1	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/04/11/2021	<p><b>“Details not provided.”</b></p> <p><i>Under his Appeal, he has stated that we do not require the information asked for any purpose related to the tender. We have a legal case running at the DLC office against Meals N More in a salary dispute. For the same, we require their address to send across a summon from the DLC office</i></p>	<p><i>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by APIO, and query raised in his 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as under:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The Applicant has sought details of Meals N More submitted in tender application. As the tender for AAHAR 2021 is still under process and requested information is part of tender, hence cannot be disclosed unless the process is completed.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><i>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed off.</i></b></p>
2.	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/04/11/2021	<p>The applicant has filed Appeal dated 16.04.2021 with the Department of Commerce after his RTI of 19.03.2021 regarding release of pension benefits under CCS Rules of the GOI.</p>	<p><i>FAA, ITPO after having pursued the RTI Applications, reply furnished by APIO/PIO and query raised in your appeal with DOC and ruled that applicant may be replied as under :</i></p> <p><i>☐ Replies to your queries has already been furnished time and again.</i></p> <p><i>☐ It is to inform that you have been repeating RTIs/Appeals of similar natures time and again. In this regard you have also been informed about the decision of CIC { No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001326-SA dated 25.06.2014} wherein it is stated that :</i></p> <p><i>(i) Even a single repetition of RTI Application would demand the valuable time of the PA/FAA and if it also reaches second appeal, that of Commission, which time could have been spent to hear another appeal or answer another application or perform other public duty and.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Every repetition of RTI Application which was earlier responded will be an obstruction to flow of information and defeats the purpose of the RTI Act. CIC thus, decided that:</i></p>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>No Scope of repeating under RTIAct.</i></li><li>• <i>Citizen has no right to repeat.</i></li><li>• <i>Repetition shall be ground of Refusal.</i></li><li>• <i>Appeals can be rejected.</i></li></ul> <p>☐ <i>The query raised by you is strictly not covered under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act. Information as existing in material form can only be provided. It is not appropriate to raise such grievance under RTI, as its core job is to disseminate/provide information.</i></p> <p>☐ <i>The copies of earlier appeal/orders viz. ITPO and CIC on the same subject are enclosed for ready reference.</i></p> <p>☐ <i>Kindly note that no further RTI / Appeal on the same subject will be entertained by ITPO.</i></p> <p>☐ <i>With these remarks, the appeal stands rejected.</i></p>
--	--	--	---