

OFFICE OF ESTATE OFFICER, PRAGATI MAIDAN, NEW DELHI

In the matter of:

India Trade Promotion Organisation

...Petitioner

Vs.

M/s. Food Plaza

...Respondent

Present:

Mr. P.K. Rawal a.w. Mr. Tarun Agarwal counsels and Mr. Balvinder Sachdeva on behalf of respondent

Mr. Shaswat, Adv. along with Ms. Sunita Kamboj, DM/AR and Sh. Lovenish Kumar, AM on behalf of petitioner

The parties further started arguing the case with respect to property tax. During arguments, it transpired that the element of property tax is linked in both the cases, i.e., Recovery-I and Recovery-II cases and respondent in their written submissions/replies sought adjustment of excess amount paid in Recovery-I case. Hence, it was decided that both the cases will be heard together in order to decide the issue.

During further arguments, it was confirmed that property tax was to be paid by the respondent as per Agreement and MCD law prevalent at that particular period. Ld. Counsel for the respondent further submitted that they have already paid amounts towards property tax in excess what was actually required to be paid.

In order to decide the issue of property tax, it was directed to both the parties to bring on record a statement in tabular form mentioning what was the actual amount of the municipality tax as per their assessment and calculations (yearwise) for the entire occupancy of Food Plaza in Pragati Maidan Complex, i.e., for the period 2000 to 2010 along with relevant calculation(s) to arrive at the total amount.

The matter is fixed on 05.03.2024 at 4.00 pm for further arguments.



Estate Officer
14.02.2024

File No.115/EST/2003 & EST/140/2015